

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 1689 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision : 31.3.2021

...

Naina and another

.....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan**

Present: Mr.Sarabjeet Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Ghuman, DAG, Punjab

...

**H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)**

This criminal writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ, has been filed by petitioner no.1 – Naina, aged about 22 years and petitioner No.2 – Baljeet Singh, aged about 22 years, stating that they have contracted marriage against the wishes of family members of petitioner no.1, i.e. father – Sher Singh, mother – Rekha Rani, brother - Happy, Mohan Singh - Sarpanch, Tony -Sarpanch and Bhinda - Lambardar, arrayed as respondents No. 4 to 9, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

When the case came up for hearing on 18.2.2021, notice of

motion was issued to the official respondents. Learned State counsel accepted the notice on their behalf and sought some time to verify the facts and existence of such threat.

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balbir Singh, has informed that the local police had recorded statement of parents of petitioner no.1 – Naina, i.e. father – Sher Singh and mother – Rekha Rani, who had stated that they have no objection to the marriage between the petitioners, as a matter of fact they will not interfere in their marital life.

Confronted with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition. Allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

It is observed that in case petitioners feel any apprehension with regard to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 9 or any other person claiming under them, then they may approach Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, in that regard, who would then assess threat perception to the petitioners and then take necessary action so warranted as per law.

**31.3.2021**  
chugh

**( H.S. Madaan )**  
**Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned                      Yes / No

Whether reportable                                      Yes / No