

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3852 of 2021
Date of decision:18.02.2021

Ajay Tyagi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Gursimranjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

(The proceedings were conducted through video
conferencing, as per instructions.)

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks directions to respondent no.4 to increase workload of the petitioner as per the applicable norms. The report of the Committee dated 15.12.2020 (Annexure P-12), which has distributed the work as against the set out principles, is also subject matter of challenge and quashing of the same is sought.

Nothing has been placed on record to show that the petitioner has approached the concerned respondent-authority for the redressal of his grievances before filing the present writ petition for seeking the issuance of Mandamus.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioner does not press the present writ petition and prays for the liberty to approach the respondent-authority for redressal of his grievance.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Liberty aforementioned is granted accordingly.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

February 18, 2021
vinod*

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No