

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7699-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.08.2021
(Heard through V.C.)

Sanjay

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present Ms. Anu Garg, Advocate for
 Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 19 dated 11.01.2021 under Sections 67 of the Information Technology Act and Sections 313, 376-D IPC registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case as he had solemnized marriage with the prosecutrix as far back as 24.07.2017. It is also submitted that the prosecutrix was happy in the matrimonial life till such time as she got a government job and thereafter differences arose between them. It is submitted that on instructions of this Court dated 18.02.2021, he has joined

the investigation. It is also contended that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dharambir, submits that the matter has been investigated and a cancellation report has been prepared. The petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for the custodial interrogation.

In view of the facts that the matter has been investigated qua the petitioner and the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation and has joined the investigation, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 18.02.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

12.08.2021
Seema Goran

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No