

CRM-M-7530-2021

DECIDED ON: 17th FEBRUARY, 2021

GAGANDEEP SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This petition is for anticipatory bail in FIR No. 260, dated 29th December, 2020, under Sections 376 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.2, Pathankot.

Earlier the petitioner had approached this Court vide CRM-M-1005-2021 seeking anticipatory bail. The petition was disposed of as not pressed.

The present petition has been filed after a gap of 35 days. On a specific query, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any change of circumstances except that he has attached a pen drive of some call recording.

It would be suffice to say that the call recording is not certified and is not accompanied by any certificate as required under the Evidence Act.

The Supreme Court in *G.R. Ananda Babu v. State of Tamil Nadu and another, 2021(1) RCR (Criminal) 843*, has deprecated the successive filing of petitions for anticipatory bail on specious reason of change of circumstance.

No case is made out that there is any change of circumstances, the petition is dismissed.

17th FEBRUARY, 2021
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes