

**108 +205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 9th December, 2021

1. CRM-M-5273-2020

Jaspreet Singh @ Jassa

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-35635-2020

Amandeep Singh @ Titu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(Oral)

1. These two petitions filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. are filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 60, dated 1st August, 2019, under Section 379-B/ 411 IPC, registered at Police Station Bilga, District Jalandhar Rural.

2. The FIR was registered at the instance of Sarabjit Singh ASI in Punjab Police. As per the allegations on 30th July, 2019, complainant was stopped by two unknown bike riders with muffled faces. The pillion rider gave a *Datar* blow on the hand of the complainant and his wallet was snatched.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are in custody since 4th September, 2019, investigation is complete, it is a

case of false implication. It is argued that no MLR was produced regarding injuries sustained by the complainant. It is further argued that the petitioners were nominated due to their involvement in other FIRs.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail and submits that there are total eleven prosecution witnesses out of which only three have been examined including the complainant. He further submits that Jaspreet Singh @ Jassa is involved in two more FIRs and one FIR is pending against Amandeep Singh @ Titu.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that Jaspreet Singh and Amandeep Singh are on bail in other cases.

6. Considering the custody period and fact that only three prosecution witnesses have been examined, no further recovery is to made from the petitioners and conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, the petitioners are granted bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The petitions are allowed.

8. Since main case is disposed of, pending application if any stands infructuous.

9. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

9th December, 2021

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No