

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1610-2021

DATE OF DECISION: MARCH 22, 2021

VARSHA & ANOTHER
STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

...PETITIONERS
VERSUS
...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: NONE FOR THE PETITIONERS.
MR. KANWAR SANJIV KUMAR, AAG, HARYANA.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.5 to 7, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

On 18.2.2021, learned State counsel sought time to verify the existence of alleged threat.

Status report by way of affidavit of Sudhir Taneja, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, HQ, Nuh, District Nuh, has been filed by learned State counsel alongwith the statements of the petitioners (Annexure R-1 and R-2), who stated that they do not apprehend any danger from respondent No.5 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that in view of the statements of petitioners, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

March 22, 2021
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No
Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No