

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7608-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 25.11.2021

Badal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Ms. Prabhjot Kaur Virk, Advocate
for the Petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Virk, Advocate
for Respondent No.2/Complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.32127 of 2021

The Applicant/Petitioner is permitted to place on record his
Confirmation Letter (Annexure P-4).

Application stands disposed off.

CRM No.32129 of 2021

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for
preponement is allowed and the matter is taken up on Board for
consideration today itself.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-7608-2021

In this petition, the Petitioner, who is the accused in F.I.R

No.53, dated 13.05.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 34, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Rori, District Sirsa, Haryana (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Reply by way of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dabwali along with accompanying documents has been filed by on behalf of the State.

3. Now the Complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sirsa, vide report dated 04.05.2021 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his Counsel who does not dispute the factum of compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sirsa, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the Complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the Petitioner/accused.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.53, dated 13.05.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 34, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Rori, District Sirsa, Haryana (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the Petitioner.

November 25, 2021

Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No