

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7174-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 16, 2021

Vinod alias Armaan

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(Oral)

This is the petition that has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 856 dated 29.12.2020 under Sections 420, 406, 120-B, 34 IPC registered at Police Station City Hansi, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner while *inter-alia* contending that the petitioner has been falsely implicated by the police in the instant FIR. It is argued that the FIR came to be registered only on account of the fact that the milk bill of the petitioner was not cleared by the complainant.

Notice of motion.

Mr. P. P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in fact the petitioner herein had induced the complainant to open a bank account so that a loan could be raised for making it available to him. The petitioner gave his mobile

number in the form, which was filled in by complainant Rajinder. The loan amount which was deposited in the account was systematically withdrawn by the petitioner through the ATM card, which had been handed over to him by the complainant himself. It is also submitted that since the petitioner had given his own mobile number (which number in fact was registered in the name of someone else but was being used by the petitioner) the complainant had no notice that there was a systematic withdrawal from the said bank account. It was only when the milk bill had to be paid and the cheque got bounced that the complainant was made aware that there was a shortfall in his bank account. In view of this custodial interrogation of the persons involved is required.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the paper book.

Keeping in view the fact that there appears to be a definite forgery and to enable a proper investigation in the matter, this Court is not inclined to allow anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

Other miscellaneous application, if any, pending on date is disposed of accordingly.

16.02. 2021*seema***(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No