

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5111-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.03.2021**

Sarbjit Kaur and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior D.A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 5.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

CRM-8031-2021:

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for preponement is allowed and the date of hearing in the main case is preponed to today itself for consideration.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-5111-2020:

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.58, dated 10.10.2015, under Sections 452, 323, 427, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Mahal Kalan, District Barnala (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, respondents No.2 to 5 have arrived at a settlement with the accused

persons vide Compromise (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by both the parties. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Barnala, vide report dated 28.02.2020, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondents No.2 to 5 are represented by their Counsel through Video Conferencing, who does not dispute the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Barnala, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", *2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543* and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", *(2014) 6 SCC 466*, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondents No.2 to 5 have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.58, dated 10.10.2015, under Sections 452, 323, 427, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Mahal Kalan, District Barnala (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

22.03.2021

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No