

Vijay Haripal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'pre-arrest bail', upon FIR No. 322 having been registered at Police Station Sadar Tohana, District Fatehabad, on 09.11.2020, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 323/506 of the IPC and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

The petitioner having joined investigation and having been admitted to bail by the arresting officer in terms of the order of this court dated 26.08.2021, learned State counsel, on instructions, from ASI Surinder, submits that his custodial interrogation is not required.

That being so, in terms of the judgment of the Supreme Court in MC Abraham vs. State of Maharashtra (2003) 2 SCC 649, obviously this court would have nothing further to say in the matter, with the petition therefore allowed and the order dated 26.08.2021 made absolute, on the same terms and conditions.

It is to be noticed that Mr. Amit Chaudhary, learned counsel for the complainant, has however opposed the petition.

December 01, 2021
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.