

220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7213-2021
Decided on : 19.02.2021

Parveen

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr.Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr.Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Shingara Singh.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.117 dated 09.06.2020 under Sections 363, 366A, 341, 376 IPC and Sections 2, 3 and 4 of POCSO Act, added later by the police registered at Police Station Bhago Camp Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that inordinate and unexplained delay of 4 days in lodging of the FIR lends credence to the false implication of the petitioner. He further submits that there are no allegations against the petitioner in FIR in question from which an inference could be drawn qua her complicity in the crime in question. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 13.06.2020 and only challan has been presented till date.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and

submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from ASI Shingara Singh has submitted that the victim was 14 year old girl who was suffering from kidney problem coupled with the fact that her parents were blind. He has submitted that the petitioner lured the victim to her house and thereafter, she was violated by the son of the petitioner which finds corroboration from the medicolegal report as well. Learned State counsel submits that in view of the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, she does not deserve the concession of bail more so when the charges have yet not been framed.

Heard.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find any ground to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. Therefore, finding no merit in the instant petition, same stands dismissed. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 19, 2021

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No