

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1556-2021

Date of Decision: 26.02.2021

Anjali Devi and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Mehar Bhatnagar, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev K. Kapila, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, Asstt. A.G., Punjab
for the respondents No.1 to 3- State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This is a petition for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition. The petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents.

On 16th February, 2021, following order was passed:-

"The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 26th February, 2021.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

Learned State counsel has filed affidavit of Mr. Jagdish Raj, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division City, District Hoshiarpur annexing statements of petitioners, same is taken on record.

Petitioners have stated that they are residing in the home of petitioner No. 2, there is no danger to their life and liberty from anyone and they do not require police protection.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of statements produced, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

26th February, 2021

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes
2. Whether reportable	:	No