

COC-404-2021

Date of Decision : 12.03.2021

SANDEEP SINDHU

...Petitioner

Versus

JASJEET KAUR

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Naveen Sheokand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order dated 28.08.2020, in CWP No.12477-2020 on account of non decision of representation dated 18.05.2019.
3. Before the matter could be taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as DAG jointly state that decision was taken on representation dated 18.05.2019 in accordance with order 28.08.2020 in CWP No.12477-2020 vide order dated 19.02.2021.
4. Reply has already been filed along with order dated 19.02.2021. The same is taken on record.
5. In the facts and circumstances of the case, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the contempt petition.

6. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties.

7. Vide order dated 28.08.2020, CWP No.12477-2020 was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide representation dated 18.05.2019 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible. Reply has been filed stating therein that the matter could not be decided earlier due to administrative exigencies. Order dated 28.08.2020 directing decision of representation dated 18.05.2019 now stands complied with besides the respondent has tendered unconditional apology for not being able to decide the representation earlier. Accordingly, taking into account all aspects of the matter, circumstances prevailing on account of Corona virus pandemic, orders dated 28.08.2020 having been complied with besides unconditional apology having been tendered for not deciding the representation earlier I am of the view that no orders are called for on the contempt petition.

8. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any orders. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

March 12, 2021

'Rajneesh/Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>