

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-7076-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 19.02.2021

DILSHAD

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.560 dated 14.09.2018, under Sections 302 read with Section 34 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged in the FIR that brother of the petitioner had shot his wife. The petitioner is only alleged to be accompanying his brother and no overt act is attributed to him. The petitioner is in custody for over 2 years and 4 months and is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel contends that 6 out of 21 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner was only accompanying his brother who has shot the victim, he is in custody for over 2

years and 4 months, he is not involved in any other case, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

19.02.2021*SwarnjitS***(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No