

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(118)

CR-283-2021

Date of Decision: February 16, 2021

Indrawati and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

Vikas and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Saini, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners while addressing arguments has only raised apprehension that while deciding the present application, certain observations have been made on the merits of the case which are likely to hamper the case of the petitioners when ultimately argued for final decision.

This apprehension is not at all correct keeping in view the paragraph 12 of the judgment where the Court has already said that anything expressed in this order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

That being so apprehension of the petitioners is totally unfounded. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not wish to press this petition any further.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of having been not pressed.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

February 16, 2021
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No