

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7051-2021

Date of Decision: 28.09.2021

Aslam

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

2. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No.136, dated 13.02.2019, under Section 379-B of IPC 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody for more than two years and seven months and only five out of twelve prosecution witnesses have been examined. He fairly submits that complainant is yet to be examined. He submits that all the co-accused have been granted interim bail and some of the cases are pending for 13th December, 2021.

4. Learned State counsel submits that petitioner was involved in another criminal case.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has already undergone the sentence awarded in another case.

6. No recovery is to be made from the petitioner. Considering the facts and circumstance in totality and the fact that conclusion of trial is likely to take time, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.
7. The petition is allowed.
8. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

28.09.2021
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No