

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6894-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.2.2021

Munfed ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG Haryana
assisted by ASI Dayachand.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in a case registered vide FIR No.544 dated 21.12.2020 under Sections 3/13(1), 8/13(3) and 17 of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 at Police Station Ferozepur Jhirka, District Nuh.
2. It is the case of prosecution that on 21.12.2020, the police received information to the effect that the petitioner Munfed indulged in slaughtering cows and at that point of time was in the process of transporting beef on his motorcycle. Pursuant to receipt of said information, barricading was held and the petitioner who was going on a motorcycle was intercepted and from his possession 50 kilograms of beef was recovered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and that in any case the investigation already stands concluded and that challan stands presented.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel has submitted that in the instant case, the petitioner during interrogation had suffered a disclosure statement to the effect that he had purchased a cow for an amount of ₹ 3,000/- and had slaughtered the same and since he was caught red handed along with beef, no case for grant of bail is made out. The learned State counsel has, however, not disputed the fact that in the instant case, challan stands presented and that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last about 2 months.
5. I have considered the rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that challan already stands presented, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose as the conclusion of trial is likely to take some time.
7. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

18.2.2021

kamal

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No