

**101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6735-2021

DECIDED ON: 15th FEBRUARY, 2021

SURINDERPAL SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Maninder Singh Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate for the complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This petition is for anticipatory bail in FIR No. 117 dated 30.12.2020, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Purana Shalla, District Gurdaspur.

The FIR was at the behest of Jagmeet Kaur. The allegations are that the accused in the FIR had ditched the complainant of about ₹23,00,000/- on the pretext of sending her son to Japan as a crane operator.

Earlier the petitioner along with other co-accused had approached this Court vide CRM-M-2553 of 2021 for anticipatory bail.

The petition was withdrawn on 19.1.2021.

The present petition is dated 8.2.2021. On a specific query, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any change of circumstances within 20 days of withdrawal of the earlier petition and filing of the present petition.

The Supreme Court in *G.R.Ananda Babu v. State of Tamil Nadu and another, Criminal Appeal No. 84 of 2021 (Arising out of SLP(Crl.) No. 213 of 2021, decided on 28.1.2021)* has deprecated the successive filing of petitions for anticipatory bail.

No case is made out that there is any change of circumstances, the petition is dismissed.

15th FEBRUARY, 2021
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>