

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6812-2021 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 18.02.2021**

Mohammad Aslam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh K. Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.  
assisted by ASI Rajbir.

*(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)*

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.178 dated 30.05.2020 at Police Station Punhana, District Nuh, under Sections 379-B, 341, 188 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act.
2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Salim Aziz Sayyad, wherein he alleged that on the night intervening 25/26.05.2020, when he alongwith his friend Jamshed were returning back to their village on his bullet motor-cycle bearing registration No.GJ-05-SB-6806, they were waylaid by 5/6 persons, who had come in a Swift car. It is alleged that one of the said persons pointed a country-made pistol on the neck of the complainant and snatched his motor-cycle forcibly and also took his Aadhar card, PAN card, cash amount of Rs.1350/-, two mobile

phones, Registration Certificate, License, ATM card, credit card etc.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has falsely been implicated in the present case and that he is nowhere named in the FIR.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has informed that the petitioner came to be nominated as an accused on the basis of supplementary statement made by the complainant on 05.06.2020 and thereafter was arrested on 08.06.2020 and recovery of ATM card, mobile phone, purse containing Rs.200/- and a bag was effected from him. Learned State counsel has, however, informed that as on date the petitioner has been behind bars since the last about 8 months and that he is not involved in any other case.
5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. Keeping in view the fact that challan already stands presented and while noticing that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last more than 8 months and is not stated to be involved in any other case, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose as the conclusion of trial will take some time. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

**18.02.2021**  
Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:  
Whether reportable:

**Yes/No**  
**Yes/No**