

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1446-2021

Date of decision-12.02.2021

Indu Bala and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Sourabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

By means of this writ petition under Articles 226/227 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to official respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they are facing threats from respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioners are known to each other and intend to marry but their relationship is being opposed by private respondents. He prays that necessary directions for providing protection to the petitioners be passed, as no action is being taken on their representation dated 09.02.2021 (Annexure P-3).

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners that a criminal case has already been registered by the Police on the complaint given by the father of the girl (respondent No.4),

though the particulars of the said FIR is not with the petitioner.

After hearing the learned counsel, this Court finds that the apprehension of alleged threat expressed by the petitioners is misplaced as concededly respondent No.4 has adopted a remedy in law, therefore, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

12.02.2021
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No