

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

RA-CR-12-2021 in COCP-1159-2019
Date of Decision: 17th February, 2021

SARTI DEVI

...APPLICANT

VERSUS

ANIL HOODA AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. R.S. Sangwan, Advocate for the applicant.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This application is filed for reviewing the order dated 11th January, 2021 passed in COCP-1159-2019.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the order dated 11th January, 2021 the word "50% back wages were correctly calculated" has been wrongly mentioned instead of "50% back wages were not correctly calculated."

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has already been provided remedy to move a representation in case of grievance with the calculation of 50% back wages.

The application is allowed and the words mentioned in the order dated 11th January, 2021 be read as "Learned counsel for the applicant submits that 50% back wages were not correctly calculated".

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

17th FEBRUARY, 2021

sham

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No