

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(119)

CWP-3235-2021

Date of Decision: February 12, 2021

Vimal Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the prayer of the petitioner is that the result Annexure P-6 which has been declared, should be rectified as in two papers, the petitioner has been shown absent whereas, the said two papers had already been cleared by the petitioner in an earlier attempt and he had not even sought permission to appear in those examinations.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the result which has been calculated now, has been calculated on the basis that the petitioner was required to appear in five papers requiring minimum marks whereas the petitioner's case was to be evaluated on the basis of three papers which the petitioner was required to clear and infact has already cleared the same in the last attempt keeping in view the regulations governing the said examination.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has

already filed a representation to the Director, Urban Local Bodies Department, Haryana, Panchkula on 13.01.2021 (Annexure P-7) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection for deciding the representation of the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 13.01.2021 (Annexure P-7), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 13.01.2021 (Annexure P-7) within a period of four weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

February 12, 2021
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No