

Bikkar Singh and Others

.....Petitioners

versus

Union of India and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate with
Mr. Vikram Rathore, Advocate for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are aggrieved because they have not been granted solatium in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013. Although a representation dated 15.12.2020 (Annexure P-6) has been made, the same has not been responded to.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sunil K. Sharma, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and waives service.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity of filing a written statement.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the pending representation dated 15.12.2020 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law and the opinion of the Union Government in this regard by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

February 15, 2021

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**