

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3142-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 01.03.2021

Jindal Kumar, Contractor

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Baldev Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 3 and 4.

Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate,
for respondent No. 5.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court against the order dated 04.02.2021 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No. 4 rejecting his bid submitted pursuant to the Request for Proposal (RFP) for door to door collection, segregation, transportation as well as processing of waste for Zone - 2, District Yamuna Nagar.

The petitioner contends that he fulfills the necessary qualification regarding experience and has also filed a certificate in support thereof, but the authorities have failed to take into consideration or to consider it in the proper perspective. It is submitted that the petitioner had filed representations before the respondent-authorities which were not considered.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No. 3 and 4 submits that in case the petitioner submits a fresh representation, the authorities shall consider and take a decision thereon expeditiously as far as possible within a period of one week of its receipt and communicate the same to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the

his grievance. In case, the petitioner does so, the respondent-authorities shall consider the same and take a decision thereon within a period of one week of its receipt as undertaken by learned counsel for the respondents No. 3 and 4.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and, therefore, the respondent-authorities would be at liberty to examine the representation keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter accept or reject the same in accordance with law. The decision taken on such representation shall be communicated by the respondent-authorities to the petitioner.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

01.03.2021
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No