

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-6522-2021****Date of Decision: 16.02.2021**

Angrej Singh @ Geji

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILLPresent: Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Swarn Singh.*(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)***GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.40 dated 18.04.2020 at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot, under Sections 307/452/454/323/34 IPC (offence under Section 454 IPC deleted later on).
2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Gurnam Singh, wherein it is alleged that on 15.04.2020, when he along with his wife Gurmit Kaur and brother Devinder Singh were present in the house, then at about 3.30 PM, Angrej Singh (petitioner) armed with *dang* (stick), Sonu armed with *Kasia*, accompanied by Jinder Kaur and Dalipo entered their house. Angrej Singh raised a *lalkara* exhorting his companions that none of the complainant

should be spared. It is alleged that while Jinder Kaur and Dalipo caught hold of Gurmit Kaur from her arms, Sonu gave a blow with *Kasia* on her head with an intention to kill her and on account of which Gurmit Kaur fell on ground. It is alleged that when he (complainant) raised alarm, he was given beatings with *dang* by Angrej Singh (petitioner).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that even if the allegations as leveled in the FIR are taken to be correct, still it is co-accused Sonu, who was armed with deadly weapon and who is attributed the injury with the same on the vital part of Gurmit Kaur and that the petitioner in any case was armed with a stick and is alleged to have given beatings to the complainant with the same.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that although it is a case of a simple injury attributed to co-accused, but since the same was caused on vital part of the injured Gurmit Kaur i.e. on her head, no case for grant of bail is made out as petitioner's intention to cause injuries can be clearly made out as he also accompanied the co-accused when they attacked the complainant and his wife. Learned State counsel has, however, informed that the petitioner as on date has undergone about 10 months and that he is not wanted in any other case.
5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the petitioner is not attributed the injury which would attract Section 307 IPC and was armed with stick only and in any case has undergone about 10 months and is even not stated to be involved in any other case,

further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose as the conclusion of trial will take some time. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

16.02.2021
Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**