

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4877-2020
Date of decision: 11.08.2021

Uma Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- None.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.15 dated 17.01.2019, registered under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

The operative part of the order dated 10.02.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a house wife and her daughter is married to one Deeapk, who is brother of the wife of one of the victims.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there is a strained matrimonial relationship of petitioner's daughter with the family of the victim and on that account, the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is not indulged in any such business of sending people abroad.

Learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions from one of the victims, who is present in

Court, could not dispute the aforesaid fact.

Adjourned to 23.03.2020.”

As per statement of ASI Gurmeet Singh, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above and considering the fact that petitioner is on interim anticipatory bail for the last more than one and a half year and he has not misused the same during that period, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 10.02.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

11.08.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No