

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.4471 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:09.07.2021

(Heard through VC)

Amanpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Dharam Bir Bhargav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.198 dated 12.09.2019 registered under Sections 66(A), 66(C), 66(E), 67(A) Information Technology Act, 2000, Section 12 of the POCSO Act and Sections 120-B, 506, 509 IPC at Police Station Sector 14, Panchkula.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said matter and prays for stay of his arrest.
3. In pursuance to notice of motion order issued by this Court, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, on instructions from SI Vijay, would contend that the matter has been investigated and in fact, a cancellation report has already been preferred, which is pending consideration before the senior officers.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the

fact that the respondent-State has investigated the matter and found no merit in the allegations as set out in the FIR, this Court deems it appropriate to stay arrest of the petitioner till such time as the cancellation report is accepted.

5. The instant petition is disposed of in above terms.

July 09, 2021	(JAISHREE THAKUR)
Pankaj*	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No