

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

107+208

**CRM-16311-2021 in
CRM-M-6205-2021.
Date of Decision: 29.06.2021.**

Jagmeet Singh

....Applicant/Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Nishan Singh Chahal, Advocate for applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate for complainant.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

CRM-16311-2021

This application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for preponing the date of hearing of the main petition, which is already fixed for 29.06.2021.

Learned counsel for applicant/petitioner submits that instant application has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

In view of above, application is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

Main Case

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C has been moved by petitioner-**Jagmeet Singh** for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.77 dated 27.10.2018 under Sections 148, 307, 323, 324, 325, 341, 379-B, 427 and 506 IPC read with Section 149 IPC (Section 201 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Sadiq, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that allegations levelled in the FIR are totally concocted, false and frivolous and there is no iota of truth therein. He further submits that allegedly injury on palmar aspect of left hand on first inter digital space on the person of injured-Krishan Kumar attributed to petitioner has been declared 'simple in nature'. He further the submits that petitioner is in custody since 26.10.2020 and he is no more required by the Investigating Agency for any investigation purpose. He further submits that after completion of investigation, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. (*Challan*) has already been presented in Court. He further submits that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for complainant while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and carefully gone through the records.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 26.10.2020; that petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose; that final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. (*Challan*) has already been presented in Court and since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner-**Jagmeet Singh** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Faridkot, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

29.06.2021
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No