

102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.6250 of 2021  
Date of Decision: 19.04.2021

ARJUN SINGH @ MIKA

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, D.A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.25 dated 23.01.2021 registered under Sections 323, 341, 384, 506 and 34 of IPC 1860 at Police Station City Rewari, District Rewari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complainant is an addict and he himself went to fetch liquor from

a liquor vend. After purchasing the liquor, the complainant reached the shop of the petitioner who is a Rehri vendor, selling Pakodas. A dispute arose between the complainant and the petitioner when the complainant did not pay the money after having Pakodas.

The allegations made in the FIR are that the petitioner took the complainant to liquor vend and asked the complainant to bring liquor for the petitioner and other co-accused and on refusal, took Rs.500/- by putting pressure upon the complainant.

According to learned counsel for the petitioner such type of allegations do not fall under the terms of snatching. Interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner on 01.03.2021 even after noticing the factum of antecedent behavior of the petitioner in number of cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 01.03.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

This fact has been admitted by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Vijay Pal and submitted that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 01.03.2021 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

**19.04.2021**  
Amandeep

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <b>Whether speaking/reasoned</b> | <b>Yes/No</b> |
| <b>Whether reportable</b>        | <b>Yes/No</b> |