

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3091 of 2021
Decided on : 12.11.2021**

Ram Kumar & another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the notice dated 28.12.2020 (Annexure P-3) wherein the post of Art & Crafts Teachers against advertisement No.6 of 2006 under Category No.22 was readvertised, in pursuance of the judgment of the Division Bench in LPA-359-2015 titled *Vinod Kumar & others Vs. State of Haryana & others*, dated 10.11.2020. Same is based mainly on the ground that a separate question/booklet series was given in one examination centre namely, Pipli Examination Centre (Sr.No.15) as the same was done to favour some of the candidates.

In the bunch of cases, judgment has been pronounced today in **CWP-8634-2021** titled *Ravinder Singh & others Vs. Haryana State Selection Commission & another*, upholding the process and the challenge raised by similarly situated candidates has been repelled on the ground that it is on account of a mistake. The opinion of the Chief Examiner was available that the difficulty level was same and the data which was given by the State

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3091 of 2021

-2-

showed that the percentage of the students who sat in the examination and qualified was also similar to the candidates of other centres. Therefore, a finding was recorded that candidates at Centre No.15 had not got any undue benefit.

Resultantly, the present writ petition is covered by the said judgment and accordingly, the same is dismissed.

November 12, 2021
Sailesh

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No