

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1) CRM-M-6259 of 2021.
Decided on:- February 18, 2021.

Mohit son of Gurdev Singh.
.....Petitioner.
Versus
State of Haryana.
.....Respondent.

(2) CRM-M-6767 of 2021.

Sandeep son of Ram Kumar.
.....Petitioner.
Versus
State of Haryana.
.....Respondent.

(3) CRM-M-6834 of 2021.

Mohit son of Dilbag.
.....Petitioner.
Versus
State of Haryana.
.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Rajnish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in CRM-M-6259 and 6834 of 2021.

Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-6767-2021.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the aforesaid three petitions under

Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail filed by petitioners Mohit son of

Gurdev Singh, Sandeep son of Ram Kumar and Mohit son of Dilbag, as the same have arisen from common FIR No.198 dated 19.12.2020 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 326, 380, 459 and 506 IPC (Sections 379-B and 452 IPC were deleted later on) registered at Police Station Titram, District Kaithal.

However, for convenience and clarity, the detailed order is being passed in *CRM-M-6259 of 2021* titled as ***Mohit Versus State of Haryana.***

Learned counsel for petitioners have submitted that petitioners Mohit son of Gurdev Singh and Mohit son of Dilbag are in custody since 22.12.2020, whereas petitioner Sandeep son of Ram Kumar is in custody since 29.12.2020. The offences allegedly committed by the petitioners are triable by the Magistrate.

Learned State counsel, on instruction from ASI Sanjay Kumar, though does not dispute the custody of the petitioners, but submits that petitioner Mohit son of Dilbag Singh is involved in another case under the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, whereas petitioner Sandeep son of Ram Kumar is involved in another case under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 427, 452 and 506 IPC.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the period of custody of the petitioners and the fact that the offences allegedly committed by the petitioners are triable by Magistrate and the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, this Court finds that no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioners in further custody.

Accordingly, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case without being influenced with these observations in any manner.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

February 18, 2021
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No