

204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4345-2020

Date of Decision: 24.11.2021

SAJDA ALIAS SAJJO

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Ms.Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. S.S.Behl, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.K.Kachura, Advocate for  
Mr. Ghulam Nabi Malik, Advocate  
for respondent No.2/complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 31.01.2020, the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is a married sister-in-law (nanad) of the complainant. Even otherwise, the petitioner shall facilitate the compromise between the parties.*

*Notice of motion.*

*At the asking of the Court, the complainant-Mabesh is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.2.*

*Petitioner shall file an amended memo of parties with the Registry within 5 days from today.*

*Matter is referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.*

*Parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, on 26.02.2020. However, the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.15,000/- to complainant on her appearance before the aforesaid Centre as litigation expenses, which shall be a condition precedent for initiation of mediation proceedings.*

*For awaiting report, list on 24.04.2020.*

*Meanwhile, till the next date of hearing, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed.”*

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter has been amicably settled through mediation and a compromise has been effected between the parties. A separate petition for quashing of FIR shall be moved. The petitioner is sister-in-law of the complainant who has joined the investigation and her custodial interrogation is no more required.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kulwinder Singh, and learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect of the matter.

In view of the above, the order dated 31.01.2020 is made absolute. It is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and subject to the condition that she complies with the provisions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The petition is, accordingly, allowed.

**24.11.2021**  
smriti

**(VIVEK PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |          |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : Yes/No |