

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 201

CRM-M-6682-2021

Date of decision : 25.10.2021

Ravinder Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Bhawesh Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Harsh Chopra, Advocate, for the complainant.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.5 dated 03.01.2021 registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Bhogpur, Jalandhar Rural, District Jalandhar.

On 12.02.2021 this Court passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case due to marital discord between his daughter the complainant's son; the primary allegations are against the petitioner's daughter who, after going to New Zealand, is alleged to have refused to take the complainant's son to New Zealand as had been agreed to prior to their wedding; the petitioner duly cooperated in facilitating the sending of the complainant's son to New Zealand; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him and that the petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Amit Mehta, Sr.D.A.G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 05.05.2021.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.5, dated 03.01.2021, registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar Rural, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined the investigation; has cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter.

Learned counsel for the complainant admits to the compromise but states that the terms of the compromise are yet to be complied with by the petitioner.

In view of the above, the order of this Court dated 12.02.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

25.10.2021
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No