

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM No.8879 of 2021 in/and
CRM-M-6238-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 24, 2021

Vikram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Chand Ram Olla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-8879-2021

This is an application that has been filed seeking to prepone hearing in the main case, which is now listed for 29.04.2021.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Hearing in the main case is preponed and the same is taken up for hearing today.

CRM-M-6238-2021

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.182 dated

24.04.2020, under Sections 386, 389, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Azad Nagar, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 11.01.2021. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that co-accused Suman @ Meera, Sudesh @ Darshana and Rajender have already been allowed regular bail by this court vide Annexures P-3 to P-5 respectively. It is also contended that investigation is complete, as the challan has already been presented and it will take sufficient time to conclude the trial, as such, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigation Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner. However, she does not dispute the fact that co-accused have already been allowed regular bail by this court and that challan has already been presented in the matter.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the facts that the present herein has been in custody since 11.01.2021, co-accused have already been released on regular bail by this court and investigation is complete, as the challan has already been presented in the matter, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

March 24, 2021
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No