

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

235

CRM-M No.6525 of 2021

Date of Decision: 09.04.2021

(Heard through VC)

Kulvir Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.0144 dated 29.10.2019 registered under Sections 354, 354-A, 451, 506 and 34 IPC and Section 3(1)(x) under Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at Police Station Doraha, Khanna, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom in view of compromise dated 27.01.2021 (Annexure P-2).

2. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on account of some misunderstanding. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and

they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Payal stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from the Investigating Officer and Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate for the complainant admit the factum of compromise and the counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another,**

(2014) 6 SCC 466, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0144 dated 29.10.2019 registered under Sections 354, 354-A, 451, 506 and 34 IPC and Section 3(1)(x) under Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at Police Station Doraha, Khanna, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

09.04.2021

D.Bansal

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No