

121
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3036 of 2021
Date of decision: 10.02.2021

M/s. Shree Balaji Trading Company

..... Petitioner (s)

V/s.

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present: Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Sanjay Kumar, J. (Oral)

The petitioner concern assails the Seizure Order dated 16.12.2020 passed by the Mining Officer, Department of Mines and Geology, Faridabad/Palwal, Haryana, whereby its vehicle bearing Registration No. HR-63E-1283 was seized by the Mining Department.

Though Mr. Arav Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner concern, would contend that an appeal was preferred against the aforesaid order, we find that the communication addressed in this regard (Annexure P-8 dated NIL) does not qualify as an appeal, Further, it does not even constitute a proper representation as it was addressed to a particular officer by name and not to any authority by designation.

In that view of the matter, we are of the opinion that it would suffice at this stage if the petitioner concern is permitted to make a proper representation to the authorities, setting out its grievance with regard to the seizure of the vehicle and seeking appropriate relief.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of permitting the petitioner concern to make such a representation to the Director General, Department of Mines and Geology, State of Haryana. In the event such a representation is made, the same shall be considered on its own merits and in accordance with law within ten days from the date of receipt thereof.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

10.02.2021

rakesh

whether speaking	:	<i>Yes/no</i>
whether reportable	:	<i>Yes/no</i>