

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4954-2020

Date of Decision: 28.07.2021

Amanpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajan Singh Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conference.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'anticipatory bail', upon a cross-version, i.e. DDR no.27, dated 10.03.2019, having been registered at Police Station City, Jagraon, District Ludhiana, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 342/365/323/294/379-A/148/149 of the IPC, to FIR no.41 dated 10.03.2019.

Learned State counsel submits that as per his instruction, the petitioner has joined investigation on 08.04.2020 and that presently at least her custodial interrogation is not required and possibly the matter may have been compromised between the parties, which fact learned counsel for the petitioner also confirms.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case but in view of the fact that the investigating officer himself is stated not to be requiring the custodial interrogation of the petitioner, this petition has

actually been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

However, if the petitioners' custodial interrogation is required at any stage hereinafter, in the context of the FIR in question, she would be given 10 days notice before arrest, duly shown to be served upon her.

Naturally, if the complainant is aggrieved in any manner, of lack of proper investigation, he would be always at liberty to avail of his remedy as per law.

July 28, 2021

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO