

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(I) CRM-M-6338-2021 (O&M)

Rajesh Kumar & others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others ...Respondents

(II) CRM-M-6916-2021 (O&M)

Sandeep Nehra & another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

Date of Decision: 30.11.2021

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners
in CRM-M-6338-2021.

Mr. Surender Pal, Advocate, for the petitioners
in CRM-M-6916-2021.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Hans Raj.

Mr. Suresh Ahlawat, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 4.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of the aforesaid two petitions i.e. CRM-M-6338-2021 filed on behalf of Rajesh Kumar & others and CRM-M-6916-2021 filed on behalf of Sandeep Nehra & another, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against

them vide FIR No.188 dated 03.08.2020 at Police Station Model Town, Hoshiarpur, under Sections 323/341/506/148/149/120-B IPC (Sections 325/307 IPC have been added later on).

2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 11.02.2021 in CRM-M-6338-2021, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it is a case of cross-version inasmuch as 4 persons from the side of the accused also sustained injuries. It has further been submitted that the matter, in any case, has been amicably resolved amongst the parties and that the complainant does not have any objection for grant of anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion for 20.5.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioners be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Even in the connected case i.e. CRM-M-6916-2021, this Court while issuing notice of motion had granted interim bail to the petitioners on 15.02.2021.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that the FIR in question came to be lodged under some misunderstanding, which has now been resolved and the parties have amicably entered into a compromise.
5. Learned State counsel has feigned ignorance about the factum of compromise, but has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, all the petitioners have since joined investigation and are not required for any custodial interrogation.

6. However, Mr. Suresh Ahlawat, learned counsel representing respondents No.2 to 4 has endorsed the factum of compromise and has stated that he has no objection for grant of bail to the petitioners.
7. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein all the petitioners are stated to have joined investigation and the matter is also stated to have been amicably resolved amongst the parties, both the petitions are accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide orders dated 11.02.2021 in CRM-M-6338-2021 and 15.02.2021 in CRM-M-6916-2021 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.
8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

30.11.2021
Vimal

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**