

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 6055 of 2021
Date of Decision: 10.02.2021**

Harjit Kaur @ Deepo

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. J. S. Mahal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This is a petition for anticipatory bail in FIR No. 117 dated 30.12.2020, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Purana Shalla, District Gurdaspur.

The FIR was at the behest of Jagmeet Kaur. The allegations are that the accused in the FIR had ditched the complainant of about Rs.23,00,000/- on the pretext of sending her son to Japan as a crane operator.

Earlier the petitioner along with other co-accused had approached this Court vide CRM-M-2553 of 2021 for anticipatory bail. The petition was withdrawn on 19.1.2021.

The present petition is dated 1.2.2021. On a specific query, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any change of circumstances within 12 days of withdrawal of the earlier petition and filing of the present petition.

The Supreme Court in **G.R.Ananda Babu v. State of Tamil**

Nadu and another, Criminal Appeal No. 84 of 2021 (Arising out of SLP(Crl.) No. 213 of 2021, decided on 28.1.2021) has deprecated the successive filing of petitions for anticipatory bail.

No case is made out that there is any change of circumstances, the petition is dismissed.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

10th February, 2021
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |