

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1293-2021

Date of decision-19.02.2021

Anupam Kumari and another**...Petitioners**

Vs.

State of Punjab and others**...Respondents****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Vishavajeet Singh Rishi, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition under Articles 226 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they have solemnized marriage on 01.02.2021 against the wishes of private respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners are receiving threats from the private respondents as they have solemnized marriage on 01.02.2021 against their wishes. He has drawn the attention of the Court to the representation dated 02.02.2021 (Annexure P-4) submitted by petitioners to Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana, Punjab and contended that no action has been taken by the officer so far. He prays for issuance of necessary directions.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that private respondents are residents of State of Bihar and as per the representation also, they came to know that parents of Anupam Kumari are searching for both the petitioners at Ludhiana. The apprehension apparently

is based upon hearsay material and there is nothing in the representation regarding the alleged manner and mode of threat received by the petitioners and therefore, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercising the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.02.2021
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No