

113

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1232-2021

Date of decision-11.02.2021

Gurjant Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Narinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a writ of mandamus by issuing directions to the official respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect his life and liberty from his wife and others (respondent Nos.4 to 13). Further prayer is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to take action against private respondents on the basis of complaint dated 04.02.2021 (Annexure P-5).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that wife of the petitioner (respondent No.4) along with others attacked her father-in-law in the absence of the petitioner on 04.02.2021 and despite a representation given by the petitioner, no action has been taken against the accused-wife and others. He prays that necessary directions be issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and his wife

(respondent No.4) is going on and multiple litigation amongst them is pending before different Courts. He has fairly stated that in the alleged occurrence, no injury was suffered by anyone and respondent No.4 is residing in the house of the petitioner. Otherwise also, there are no particulars given regarding the alleged threat.

Considering the above and contents of the representation, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercising the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction, particularly when the petitioner can avail the alternative remedy.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

11.02.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No