

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5996-2021(O&M)

Date of decision 22.03.2021

Tarjana Rai

.....Petitioner

Versus

Pulin Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Manish Singla, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Shailender Singh Momi, Advocate for the respondent

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Through this petition, petitioner prays for initiation of proceedings under Section 340 and 195 Cr.P.C for an alleged offence of forgery.

This Court has heard learned counsel for the complainant and does not find it appropriate to entertain the present petition. The petitioner alleges that while filing a writ petition, the respondents have forged an internal communication of the Company and annexed the same with the petition. In the considered view of this Bench, since the writ petitions are being disposed of by a separate order of even date, therefore, it would not be appropriate for the Court to entertain this petition. Hence, the petitioner, if so advised, may avail the alternative remedy.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

22.3.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes /No

Yes / No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**