

COC-313-2021

Date of Decision: 04.03.2021

Sunita Devi

...Petitioner

vs.

Pardeep Kumar

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been allowed to join duty in District Mohindergarh in compliance of order dated 12.12.2019, in CWP-23954-2018 and in the circumstances, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the Contempt Petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to take out appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law, with regard to claim for release of financial benefits.
3. Learned Addl. AG, Haryana states that non compliance within the stipulated period of time was not intentional or willful but due to administrative exigencies and in view of needful having been done the contempt petition be disposed of as not calling for any further orders.
5. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel and am of the view that in the circumstances, non compliance within the stipulated period of time was not intentional or willful.

3. In view of the position noted above, Rule is discharged and the Contempt Petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty as prayed for.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

04.03.2021  
'Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No