

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.3978 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 29.06.2021

Amit

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. G.K. Bhatt, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.715 dated 03.11.2015, registered under Sections 306, 34 IPC at Police Station City Bhiwani, District Bhiwani.

The operative part of the order dated 07.02.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order dated 20.12.2019 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M No.54321 of 2019 vide which the co-accused Pawan Kumar @ Neeta was granted the concession of anticipatory bail. It is further submitted that even the petitioner was found innocent and is now summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. It is also submitted that the petitioner is ready to surrender before the trial Court and face the proceedings, in accordance with law.

Notice of motion for 16.04.2020....”

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 07.02.2020, the petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court on 13.02.2020 and is facing the trial.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Pawan, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 07.02.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and that he will appear before the trial Court on each and every date of hearing.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

29.06.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No