

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
118**

**CWP-2759-2021(O&M)
Date of Order:09.02.2021**

Suresh Hooda

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner is aggrieved from the order dated 19.01.2021 repatriating him to his parent department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is due to retire on 31.05.2021 on attaining the age of superannuation and therefore, the order is erroneous. He further contends that no opportunity of hearing has been granted before passing the order.

Repatriation to the parent department happens when an employee, who is taken on deputation, is sent back to his parent department. Such deputation does not create any right in favour of the employee to continue to work on the deputation. The petitioner has merely been repatriated to his parent department. Therefore, the aforesaid order does not result in any adverse consequences.

In view thereof, no ground to issue the writ, as prayed for, is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

February 09, 2021

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No