

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1211-2021
Date of decision:-9.2.2021

Pardeep and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Deepak Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner No.1 – Pardeep, aged about 21 years and petitioner No.2 Ritu aged about 17 ½ years through petitioner No.1 Pardeep have brought the instant petition impleading private respondents No.4 to 6, who are family members of petitioner No.2, craving for issuance of directions to officials respondents to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6 or the persons claiming under them contending that they are residing together in a live-in relationship to which family members of petitioner No.2 are objecting.

On the face of it, the petition is misconceived. Petitioner No.2 – Ritu being a minor, there could not be a question of petitioner No.1 –

Pardeep residing with her with consent of both the parties since a minor cannot give a valid consent to such live-in relationship. When pointedly asked, learned counsel for the petitioner has conceded that an FIR has been registered against petitioner No.1 with regard to kidnapping of petitioner No.2.

Under the circumstances, there is no question of grant of any protection to the petitioners since live-in relationship with a minor girl is socially and morally wrong and is not legally acceptable.

The petition is doomed for failure and is dismissed accordingly.

9.2.2021

Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No