

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-5794-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 24.03.2021

AJAY KUMAR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sahil Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 30 dated 17.02.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 324 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of co-accused recorded five months after the occurrence. He also contends that co-accused, namely, Rohit Kumar has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court by order dated 12.01.2021 passed in CRM-M No. 32862 of 2020.

This Court, by the order dated 09.02.2021, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Satish Kumar, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 09.02.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

24.03.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No