

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202/2)

Date of Decision: 22.03.2021
CRM-M-5558 of 2021

Akashdeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

2)

CRM-M-7528 of 2021

Sakattar Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Bikramjit Aroura, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr S.S. Deol, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Case heard by way of video conferencing.

By these two petitions, the petitioners seek the concession of pre-arrest bail, upon a cross case registered vide Report No.29, dated 06.03.2018, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 323, 324, 326, 506 and 34 of the IPC, at Police Station Sadar, District Tarn Taran, (in case FIR no.116, dated 01.07.2017, registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 506 and 34 of the IPC, at the same police station).

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that pursuant to the orders passed in these petitions earlier, all the petitioners have joined investigation and presently their custodial interrogation is not required.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual

merits of the case, whatsoever, since the investigating agency itself does not require the custodial interrogation of the petitioners, these petitions have in fact been rendered infructuous and are disposed of as such.

However, if the petitioners' custodial interrogation is required at any stage hereinafter, in the context of the FIR in question, they would be given 10 days notice before arrest, duly shown to be served upon them.

Naturally, if the complainant in the cross case is aggrieved in any manner, of lack of proper investigation, he/she would be always at liberty to avail of his/her remedy as per law.

A copy of this order be placed on the file of the other matter too.

22.03.2021
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No