

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2668-2021

Date of decision: 5.2.2021

Vijay Bhushan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Raman K. Sharma, Addl.AG, Haryana

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Haryana is impleaded as respondent No.3.

Registry is directed to make the necessary amendment in the memo of parties.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the respondents to decide the application (Annexure P1) for delivering the possession of the land situated within the revenue estate of Village Kidawali, Tehsil and District Faridabad.

States that the application (Annexure P1) preferred by the petitioner is pending consideration before respondent No.2 and at this stage,

he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to consider and decide the same expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to the learned State counsel.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 - Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Haryana to ensure that the application (Annexure P1) is decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within twelve weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

[VIVEK PURI]
JUDGE

5.02.2021

gsv

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No