

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.5394 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision.28.04.2021
(HEARD THROUGH VC)**

Chandandeep Singh alias Chandan

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for the complainant.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

This is a petition that has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.195 dated 24.06.2020 registered under Sections 307, 506, 148, 149 IPC and 25/27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Verowal, District Tarn Taran, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would seek anticipatory bail to the petitioner by contending that the matter stands compromised between the parties. It is also contended that no offence under Section 307 IPC has been made out against the petitioner herein because as per the reading of contents of the FIR, fire arm injury has been attributed to one Gursewak Singh and Harman Singh.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State opposes grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner herein on the ground that the petitioner has been specifically named in the said FIR. It is further

argued that one Gursewak Singh fired from his pistol with an intention to kill the complainant, which caused an injury upon his leg whereas Harman Singh fired a gun shot towards one Sukhwinder Singh @ Soni, which hit upon his right knee and then co-accused Gurlal Singh son of Kulwant Singh gave a pistol injury with an intention to kill Harbhinder Singh alias Laddi. The petitioner was a part of the group that inflicted grievous injuries upon the complainant and others. It is also argued that the petitioner has been named as a person, who also directly fired on a relative of the complainant namely Pargat Singh, which hit to his stomach and ankle.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the contents of the FIR. The allegations in the FIR would clearly reflect that the complainant party received serious injuries upon them, which have been declared dangerous to life and therefore, Section 307 IPC has been made out against the petitioner and other co-accused. The argument that no injuries have been caused by the petitioner herein cannot stand scrutiny at the present moment since there is specific allegation that the petitioner directly fired upon the relative of the complainant, causing him serious injuries.

In view of the aforementioned, no ground is made out grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Consequently, the instant petition stands dismissed.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

April 28, 2021
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No